Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/769,968	SATO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dennis G. Bonshock	2173
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) Dennis G. Bonshock.	(3)	
(2) <u>Todd Baker</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12-6-2007</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representa	tive]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)∐ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		*
Identification of prior art discussed: Matoba, Pietropaolo, and Davis.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)☐ was not reached. h)⊠	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Proposed changes to the prior art of record, specifically stating that the process consupply, and turns on the power supply when the starting of reached and to control the performance.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE AINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPUTED OF THE INTERPUTED OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTAN	de independent claim were distrol mechanism is always in clate and time set in the reserved distrol which the examiner accept of the amendments that id.)  ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has alread to the control of the	egreed would render the claims would render the claims  HE SUBSTANCE OF THE by been filed, APPLICANT IS
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature if required